



“If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999). As recounted above, the parties have not objected to the recommended disposition of the case. The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s R&R. The Magistrate Judge engaged in a thorough discussion of the relevant law, and the Court finds that the R&R is not clearly erroneous and is amply supported by the record. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge’s recommended disposition of the case.

The Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 50) is ADOPTED IN ITS ENTIRETY. Mid-Century’s motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 46) is GRANTED. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment for Mid-Century and against Defendants declaring:

1. There is no coverage under the policy for any liability Mid-America Mental Health LLC or Christine Reese may have for the damages alleged in the complaint filed by Elizabeth Magee in the underlying action;
2. Mid-Century has no duty to defend Mid-America Mental Health LLC or Christine Reese in the underlying action; and
3. Mid-Century has no duty to indemnify Mid-America Mental Health LLC or Christine Reese for any liability they may have for the damages alleged in the complaint filed by Elizabeth Magee in the underlying action.

SO ORDERED on September 30, 2025.

s/ Holly A. Brady  
CHIEF JUDGE HOLLY A. BRADY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT